Proceedings of ICLLCD 2026 Symposium: Intelligent Media for Cultural Bridge: Forum on Global-Local Communication
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7064/2026.BJ32131

From Participatory Culture to Precarious "Playbour": A Study on the Structural Differences of Digital Participation in the Hatsune Miku Platform

Qiqi Wang

College of Liberal Arts, Shanghai University, Shanghai, China
qiqi97013@gmail.com

Abstract. Against the backdrop of the continuous integration of the platform economy and the digital entertainment industry, virtual idols have emerged as a crucial media form connecting cultural participation, digital labor, and capital operation. Drawing on theoretical frameworks such as participatory culture, playbour, and platform capitalism, this paper takes Hatsune Miku as a case study to analyze how its platform structure, intellectual property arrangements, and commercialization mechanisms organize the digital participation practices of fans and creators. The research finds that through layered governance and peer production mechanisms, Hatsune Miku has buffered the common risk of labor precarity in platformized production to a certain extent, preventing cultural participation from being fully transformed into exploited digital labor. This paper thus argues that the structural differences in institutional design among different virtual idol platforms are key factors shaping participation forms and labor relations.

Keywords: virtual idols, Hatsune Miku, participatory culture, playbour, peer production

1. Introduction

With the deep integration of digital media technologies and the platform economy, the boundaries between cultural production, labor forms, and economic models have become increasingly blurred and continuously restructured. Digital media, centered on data, platforms, algorithms, and interactions, is no longer merely a venue for the public to engage in cultural participation and communication, but has gradually evolved into a structural mechanism for value extraction and the shaping of new economic models. As a product integrating the entertainment industry, fan culture, algorithmic technology, and platform governance, virtual idols epitomize this transformation. Virtual idols are highly dependent on fan users' participation, yet through diverse platform mechanisms, such cultural participation is converted into economic value. In this process, the so-called "participation" manifests in distinct forms: some as decentralized and creative cultural practices, others as precarious digital labor exploited by platforms with insufficient labor protection.

In the tradition of cultural studies, Henry Jenkins' concept of "participatory culture" emphasizes users' participatory practices on platforms and the empowerment they gain in cultural production. However, with the development of platform capitalism, academic circles have increasingly

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7064/2026.BJ32131

recognized that participation does not equate to empowerment. Julian Kücklich's concept of "Playbour" (a portmanteau of "play" and "labour") points out that seemingly entertaining and voluntary participation may be transformed into unpaid and low-protection labor forms under platform structures.

This paper adopts a research method combining literature review and case study, aiming to explore the core question of under what platform structures and institutional arrangements does digital participation not fully transform into precarious "playbour" labor? Although existing studies have explored related issues from the perspectives of fan culture, digital labor, or platform economy, research analyzing the nature of participants' labor through the platform structure of virtual idols remains insufficient. This paper selects Hatsune Miku as a key case of "incomplete playbourization." Its purpose is not to deny the exploitation by capital and platforms, but to explain how structural differences shape diverse outcomes.

2. Literature review and theoretical framework

2.1. Participatory culture: empowerment and its theoretical limitations

Henry Jenkins proposed the important concept of "participatory culture" in his research on "convergence culture," describing the phenomenon where ordinary users and fans participate in cultural production and meaning-making with relatively low thresholds under digital media conditions [1]. Within this framework, users are no longer passive consumers but actively engage in the circulation and reproduction of texts through comments, fan fiction creation, and other forms [1], blurring the traditional boundary between producers and consumers. Jenkins regards such participation as a potential process of cultural empowerment. However, in his view, participatory culture is not "generously bestowed" by enterprises or media institutions, but rather the result of users' active striving and practice [1].

Nevertheless, the theory of participatory culture itself implies several underlying assumptions. The theory has two limitations: first, it treats platforms as neutral cultural spaces, neglecting their ownership structures and institutional power; second, it focuses on the negotiation of cultural meanings and fails to systematically examine the economic exploitation of participation and the transformation of value [1]. Therefore, although participatory culture provides an important starting point for understanding the cultural practices of users and fans in digital media environments, in highly platformized and capitalized media contexts, the definition of the corresponding term "participation" as empowerment needs to be understood within a structural framework.

2.2. "Playbour": the labor transformation of participation

Among relevant theories, Julian Kücklich's concept of "Playbour" combines "play" and "labour," referring to a mode of participation formally packaged as voluntary, entertaining, and creative practice, but essentially constituting unpaid or low-protection labor. Thus, the concept of "playbour" is not only a supplement to participatory culture but also a structural correction of its implicit political-economic premises.

Kücklich points out that while "playbour" seemingly enjoys creative freedom, it lacks intellectual property rights and labor protection, becoming a "reserve army of labor" for platforms to transfer costs and risks. However, Kücklich also notes that this precarious labor structure is not immutable. As "playbour" subjects gradually recognize the nature of their labor and redefine the boundary between "work and leisure," participants' struggles over intellectual property rights, labor protection,

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7064/2026.BJ32131

and profit distribution may intensify [2]. It is evident that "playbour" is not the result of individual choice but a structural product jointly shaped by platform systems, ownership structures, and industrial logic.

Here, it is necessary to introduce Tiziana Terranova's classic discussion on "free labor" to avoid simplistically equating all unpaid participation with exploitation. Terranova points out that free labor in the digital economy often possesses voluntary, enjoyable, and potentially exploitative characteristics simultaneously. The key does not lie in whether labor receives direct remuneration, but in whether such labor is institutionally fixed, appropriated, and transformed into the foundation for capital accumulation [3]. She further emphasizes that the digital economy itself is a field constantly experimenting with "which activities are deemed labor," where many practices lie in the gray area between labor and non-labor [3]. In early virtual communities, free labor did not necessarily imply exploitation, as participants could enter and exit freely, and their efforts were primarily aimed at social returns rather than economic rewards [3].

This perspective reminds us that "playbour" is not an inevitable outcome of all participatory cultures, but a precarious labor form solidified only under specific platform structures, ownership arrangements, and governance mechanisms. Therefore, analyzing participatory practices in virtual idol platforms hinges not on judging whether participation is "free," but on examining how platforms organize risks, distribute power, and define participants' exit possibilities.

2.3. Platform capitalism: data, infrastructure, and risk distribution

Entering the 21st century, the relationships between enterprises and various stakeholders revolve around data collection, analysis, and monetization. Against this backdrop, "platforms" have rapidly risen as a new business model. Nick Srnicek defines them as digital infrastructure whose core function is to mediate group interactions [4]. Unlike traditional enterprises, platforms do not directly produce goods or services but occupy an intermediary position, recording, analyzing, and controlling user behavioral data to gain structural advantages.

The key to platform capitalism lies in reorganizing "participation." The "decentralized participation" in the Web 2.0 era essentially reflects platforms' reliance on free labor, especially "lean platforms" that transfer responsibilities through outsourcing, monopolize value production, and exacerbate labor precarity [3,4]. This perspective provides insights for understanding participatory practices in virtual idol platforms, where cultural participation and other activities are converted into monetizable data resources. Under the logic of lean platforms, participation is embedded in value extraction mechanisms, offering a structural explanatory basis for analyzing how "participation" slides into precarious "playbour."

2.4. The tension between consumption and resistance in fan culture studies

Matt Hills argues that the core characteristic of fan culture lies in its inherent contradictions—being embedded in consumer relations yet potentially expressing anti-commercial stances, and this contradiction is an integral part of the fan experience [5]. Drawing on Adorno's discussion of value, Hills proposes a "dialectic of value" perspective. Within this framework, fans are both within the process of commodification and experience highly personalized "use value" in their emotional and meaningful relationships with beloved objects; yet this use value is ultimately reintegrated into the broader system of "exchange value." This theory offers important insights for understanding the complex position of fans under platform capitalism.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7064/2026.BJ32131

3. Research methods and design

This paper adopts a qualitative case study approach, analyzing digital participation in virtual idol platforms from four dimensions: ownership structure, participation organization, commercialization paths, and risk distribution. Its core goal is to reveal how platform institutional arrangements shape participation forms and digital labor stability, rather than generalizing user behavior.

Methodologically, it combines textual analysis and political economy-oriented media research, constructing a theoretical framework with concepts like "participatory culture" (Jenkins), "playbour" (Kücklich), and "platform capitalism" (Srnicek). Taking Hatsune Miku as the case—selected for its active fan participation and distinct governance model—this paper analyzes academic literature, platform policies, and secondary research to explore its peer production mechanism. Fans are viewed as reflective participants with "intellectual capital" [6], not just exploited laborers, enabling an accurate understanding of its operational logic.

4. Hatsune Miku: a peer production platform of "incomplete playbourization"

4.1. Platform structure and intellectual property arrangements

As Galbraith points out, Hatsune Miku "is the aggregate of countless creative contributions from people worldwide," and its existence depends on a network of creative peer production connected via the Internet [7]. In terms of media form, Hatsune Miku initially emerged as a voice synthesis software (Vocaloid series) launched by Crypton Future Media in 2007. Its image itself is not a character carrying a complete narrative, but a highly open media interface that can be continuously invoked and re-created.

At the intellectual property level, the IP ownership of Hatsune Miku has always been held by Crypton Future Media. However, instead of restricting fan participation through strict exclusive copyright mechanisms, the company allows users to engage in non-commercial and partial commercial creation under specific conditions through relatively clear authorization rules [7]. This arrangement legally maintains the enterprise's ultimate control over the brand and image, while institutionally providing a predictable space for large-scale fan creation, thereby forming a mixed franchising structure between full openness and high closure. It is within this structure that Hatsune Miku has been able to maintain a continuously expanding creative ecosystem without fully transforming fan participation into controlled employment or outsourced labor.

4.2. Peer production and distributed participation mechanisms

The production logic of Hatsune Miku embodies typical characteristics of peer production. Yochai Benkler defines peer production as a process in which a large number of individuals collaboratively produce complex cultural products within a non-hierarchical structure, and Hatsune Miku is an important case of this model in contemporary digital media environments. Music producers, illustrators, animation creators, programmers, and ordinary audiences continuously contribute content to Hatsune Miku through various platforms, making it a constantly updated and expanded media work.

This production process exhibits significant distributed characteristics: the threshold for participation is relatively low, creator identities do not rely on formal certification, and there is no strict centralized screening mechanism among creative works. With the expansion of the participation scale, audiences are no longer merely recipients of works but gradually become co-

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7064/2026.BJ32131

producers of content. Their creative outputs are consumed and recreated by others, forming a self reinforcing cycle. Thus, Hatsune Miku does not rely on a single center to continuously "produce content," but maintains its cultural vitality and long-term sustainability through distributed participation mechanisms.

4.3. Commercialization paths and platform value realization

Although Hatsune Miku is highly dependent on fan creation, its commercialization path is not built on the direct employment, performance appraisal, or exclusive control of individual creators' labor. Crypton's main sources of income remain concentrated on the sales of Vocaloid software itself, as well as authorization, performances, and derivative product development centered on the Hatsune Miku image. Meanwhile, the company provides channels for creators to display their works and achieve limited monetization by building and maintaining platforms such as Piapro and KarenT, integrating fan creation into the formal industrial system to a certain extent. As Galbraith points out, without the continuous contributions of fan production communities, Crypton could not continuously launch market-attractive software; conversely, without the company's technical support, legal frameworks, and global market channels, fan creation would struggle to gain widespread visibility [7]. A structurally interdependent relationship has thus formed between the two parties. Under this model, platforms do not realize profits by reducing the labor costs of individual creators, but by maintaining and expanding the creative ecosystem itself, enabling the overall "value" of Hatsune Miku to exhibit a multiplier effect with the growth of the number of participants.

4.4. Risk distribution and non-precarious labor structure

Unlike the common "playbour" model in the virtual idol industry in recent years, Hatsune Miku's peer production system does not directly transfer systemic risks to individual fans. Firstly, fan participation is highly voluntary and retrievable: creator identities are not directly tied to stable income, career paths, or platform rating mechanisms, and withdrawing from creation does not result in explicit economic or social penalties. Secondly, the distributed production structure ensures that the failure, absence, or loss of individual creators does not have a decisive impact on the overall project, as relevant risks are effectively dispersed within the network.

More importantly, Crypton Future Media bears the main risks in terms of brand image maintenance, legal liability, and large-scale commercial operations. The visual images in official concerts and stage presentations are subject to relatively strict control, while fan fiction creation is allowed to develop freely within a relatively loose scope [7]. This layered management strategy ensures brand controllability while avoiding directly imposing the consequences of commercial failure or market fluctuations on fan creators. Thus, Hatsune Miku embodies not a highly precarious labor structure, but a peer production platform that buffers risks through institutional design and retains a certain degree of participant autonomy.

5. Conclusion

Taking virtual idol platforms as the research object, this paper explores how digital participation is organized, regulated, and transformed into economic value under different platform structures from the theoretical intersection of participatory culture, digital labor, and platform capitalism. Through an analysis of the Hatsune Miku case, this paper argues that digital participation does not inevitably slide into precarious labor exploited by platforms; its outcome depends on the specific institutional

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7064/2026.BJ32131

design of platforms in terms of ownership arrangements, governance mechanisms, and risk distribution.

Hatsune Miku's peer production model demonstrates that under clear intellectual property boundaries, relatively loose participation rules, and a distributed production structure, fan creation and user participation can maintain their cultural autonomy and freedom of exit for a long period of time. Although fan contributions are economically integrated into the commercial system, platforms do not fix participants as low-protection labor subjects through direct employment, performance appraisal, or algorithmic ranking mechanisms, thereby buffering the precarization trend emphasized by "playbour" to a certain extent. This finding does not deny the widespread existence of "free labor" and value appropriation in the digital economy. It should be admitted that free cultural labor has always been an important foundation for the operation of the digital economy. However, the Hatsune Miku case shows that free labor is not necessarily equivalent to exploited labor, and its political-economic implications need to be analyzed in conjunction with specific platform structures. Compared with the practice of lean platforms that systematically transfer risks to individual creators through outsourcing and algorithmic governance, Hatsune Miku's production system retains participation reversibility and risk-buffering mechanisms at the institutional level.

The research contribution of this paper lies in extracting virtual idol research from pure fan culture or technical narratives and placing it within a structural analysis framework of platform capitalism and digital labor. By introducing the analytical perspective of "non-precarious participation," this paper supplements the existing research tendency of overemphasizing the dualism of exploitation or empowerment. Of course, this paper also has certain limitations. Due to the focus on a single case, its conclusions cannot be directly generalized to all virtual idol platforms. Future research can build on this by introducing more cases to systematically compare the degree of laborization of participatory practices under different platform models, thereby achieving a more comprehensive understanding of the diverse trends of digital participation in the contemporary platform economy.

References

[1] Jenkins, H. (2006). Convergence culture: Where old and new media collide. New York University Press.
[2] Kücklich, J. (2019). Precarious playbour: Modders and the digital games industry. In J. Yao & Y. Su (Eds.), Bringing labour back in: Precarious workers in the global economy (pp. 177–191). Social Sciences Academic Press. (Original work published in Chinese as Hui gui lao dong: Quan qiu jing ji zhong bu wen ding de lao gong; Translated by A. Ni; Proofread by J. Yao).
[3] Terranova, T. (2000). Free labor: Producing culture for the digital economy. Social Text, 18(2), 33–58.
[4] Srnicek, N. (2017). Platform capitalism. Polity Press.
[5] Hills, M. (2002). Fan cultures. Routledge.
[6] Jenkins, H. (2006). Fans, bloggers, and gamers: Exploring participatory culture. New York University Press.
[7] Galbraith, P. W., & Karlin, J. G. (Eds.). (2016). Media convergence in Japan. Kinema Club.